

hereby elects Species B as illustrated in Figs. 10 and 11 on which Claims 1-16 are readable.

Applicant does not traverse the Restriction.

Applicant continues to maintain patentability of Species A and to Claims 18 and 19 for purposes of further prosecution in a divisional, continuation, or continuation-in-part Application or otherwise.


### **REMARKS**

Comments of Primary Examiner THOMAS have been reviewed carefully along with pertinent sections of the Patent Act, Patent Rules, Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, legal treatises and relevant decisional law. Applicant has complied with the Restriction, so action on the merits of Claims 1-16 of the Application will be appreciated.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicant looks forward to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the Election, Applicant will indicate which are readable upon the elected species as called for in MPEP §809.02(a).

Courtesy, cooperation and skill of Primary Examiner David B. THOMAS are  
acknowledged and appreciated.

Respectfully,



CHARLES E. BAXLEY

Attorney of Record

USPTO Reg 20,149

90 John Street, Third Floor

New York, NY 10038

Tel: (212) 791-7200

Fax: (212) 791-7276

E-Mail: [ceb@hartbaxley.com](mailto:ceb@hartbaxley.com)

CEB:lp/14308B